In re Appln. No. 09/786,130

REMARKS

Applicants believe that the amendment to claim 2 to delete the recitation of distinct species obviates this election of species requirement. Accordingly, in reliance thereof no election of species or listing of claims readable thereon is believed to be necessary in response to this Office Action.

Respectfully submitted,

BROWDY AND NEIMARK, P.L.L.C. Attorneys for Applicant(s)

Ву

ALLEN C. YUN

Registration No. 37,971

ACY:pp

624 Ninth Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001

Telephone No.: (202) 628-5197 Facsimile No.: (202) 737-3528

G:\BN\S\SUMA\Torigoe 4\PTO\amd and response to restriction req.wpd

In re Appln. No. 09/786,130

VERSION WITH MARKINGS TO SHOW CHANGES MADE

Claim 2 has been amended as follows:

2 (Amended). The interleukin-18-binding protein of claim 1, which comprises a part of the whole of the amino acid sequence shown I any one of SEQ ID NOs:3 to 31 4 to 29 contiguous amino acid residues in the amino acid sequence of SEQ ID NO:1 or 2.